Per G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

This petition is directed against order-dated 28-10-2005, passed by the Special Court constituted under Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982.

The plea of the petitioner is that the decision of the Special Court to issue notice to the respondents to contest petition filed by him is contrary to the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in **MOHD. SIDDIQ ALI KHAN v. SHAHSUN FINANCE LIMITED, CHENNAI.**

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the respondents say that even though in terms of the Judgment of the Full Bench in **Mohd. Siddiq Ali Khan's case** (supra), the jurisdiction of the Special Court to issue
pre-admission notice is questionable, the present Writ petition should be dismissed because his clients are *suo motu* willing to contest the admission of the petition filed by the petitioner.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, we are satisfied that the decision of the Special Court to issue pre-admission notice to the respondents does not call for interference by this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, because no prejudice can be said to have been caused to the petitioner.

In the result, the writ petition is dismissed. The parties shall appear before the Special Court on the next date of hearing, which is expected to deal with the matter keeping in view the observations contained in the last portion of paragraph 92 of the judgment of the Full Bench.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

19th DECEMBER, 2005.

Mvb/***kvni***/vtv

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI G.S.SINGHVI

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

–

Writ Petition No.24048 of 2005

–

Between:

T.Nageshwara Rao . . .Petitioner

And

The Registrar, Special Court, Hyderabad,

and others . . .Respondents

:: ORDER ::

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri Syed Shareef Ahmed

Counsel for the respondent No.1 : GP for Revenue

Counsel for the respondent Nos.2 & 5: Sri C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy

Counsel for the respondent No.4 : Sri M.Sudhir Kumar

Counsel for the respondent No.7 : Smt P.Nireekshana

December 19, 2005

-

-